# TOWN OF WEDDINGTON REGULAR TOWN COUNCIL MEETING MONDAY, JANUARY 11, 2016 - 7:00 P.M. MINUTES

The Town Council of the Town of Weddington, North Carolina, met in a Regular Session at the Weddington Town Hall, 1924 Weddington Road, Weddington, NC 28104 on January 11, 2016, with Mayor Bill Deter presiding.

Present: Mayor Bill Deter, Councilmembers Michael Smith, Scott Buzzard and Janice

Propst, Town Attorney Anthony Fox, Finance Officer Leslie Gaylord, Town

Planner Julian Burton, and Town Administrator Peggy Piontek

Absent: Mayor Pro Tem Don Titherington

Visitors: Bill Price, Ginny Reid, Bill Snider, Monica Snider, Andy Stallings, Peggy

Stallings, Dan Barry, Larry Wood, Marcos Bilbao, Walton Hogan, Barbara Harrison, Pat Harrison, Anna-Marie Smith, John Roberts, Brent Michael, Pam

Hadley

Mayor Bill Deter offered the Invocation prior to the opening of the meeting.

<u>Item No. 1. Open the Meeting</u> Mayor Deter opened the January 11, 2016 Regular Town Council Meeting at 7:00 p.m. He announced that Mayor Pro Tem Titherington is not present this evening.

**Item No. 2. Pledge of Allegiance** Mayor Deter led in the Pledge of Allegiance.

**Item No. 3. Determination of Quorum** There was a quorum.

#### **PUBLIC ADDRESS TO THE COUNCIL**

Any individual or group who wishes to address the Council may do so at this time. Each speaker will have three (3) minutes to make their remarks and shall obey reasonable standards of courtesy in their remarks. Typically, this is a time for the Mayor and Council to hear from the public and not respond. If questions are raised, a member of the Town Council or Staff may contact the individual after the meeting to help address issues raised. If the item you wish to speak about is a Public Hearing item, address your concerns during that time and not under the Public Comment period.

#### **Item No. 4. Public Comments**

Mayor Deter opened Public Comments.

Barbara Harrison, 2001 Belle Forest Court - My comments are directed towards Councilwoman Propst. In your Council comments you said –"because if you knew me you'd know this town is very important to me". In fact this town is so important to you that you voted to sue the town for \$750,000.00. This town is so important to you the town has incurred additional attorney fees that you and you alone are responsible for. The taxpayers of Weddington would like for you to recuse yourself when it comes to deciding and voting on anything dealing with the Providence Volunteer Fire Department. The fact is on May 11<sup>th</sup> and August 10<sup>th</sup> you pounded this podium and let everyone know what was important to you (Providence Volunteer Fire Department). Another of your comments was "I will do it with honesty, integrity and openness". In that vein we would like to know if Providence is awarded the \$750,000.00 how much do you anticipate receiving. Gentlemen thank you for your service.

Walt Hogan, 5009 Laurel Grove Lane – First off I want to wish everyone a Happy New Year. Second I'd like to reopen the issue of the \$206,000.00 that has just kind of disappeared from discussion. Third thing I'd like to recommend that we in Weddington recommend against the 50 year toll up on 77. Thank you.

Bill Snider, 1423 Longleaf Court – As far as the intersection at Antioch Church Road and Long Leaf Court is concerned; I don't know how many people here have to go through that intersection every day. I know you have probably heard a lot from residents. It is pretty dangerous. When I say dangerous, not to talk about myself but a little bit of background and I'll try not to use up three minutes. I have worked within NASCAR for a lot of years and I've been around fast cars, accidents, safety issues, developing seats, seatbelts, and all kinds of stuff. That intersection has a blind hill coming from your left. I can't tell you how many times I've seen it happen and it's happened to me, where if you're turning left out of that intersection and somebody is coming up the left side over 45 miles per hour. My theory on this is if you put a 35 mph speed limit people go 45 if you put 45 and they go 55. I pulled out of there one day, I had a guy in a BMW M3, and luckily I was turning right. He went into the opposite lane of traffic and almost went off the road trying to avoid me and he had to be doing clearly 60 mph. This is somewhat routine. The reason I bring this up is because you have the Falls at Weddington being built. All these extra houses and now you're talking about the Enclave and you will add traffic from that as well. Although I'll probably be the one to get caught going 2 miles over the speed limit there really isn't a lot of police presence over there looking at what people are doing. That's what I wanted to say about the intersection. I do think it's really, really dangerous. Thank you for your time.

John Roberts, 1415 Longleaf Court – Thank you for the opportunity. I live next door to Mr. Snider. I'm also here to speak about Antioch Church Road and specifically Longleaf Court

which is the Providence Place neighborhood. I've lived in the same location for almost 20 years. Just before I moved to Providence Place (several of my neighbors remember this) -Antioch Church Road, right where we are, was a gravel road. It was paved just about 20 years ago on the portions that we are concerned about. There is a crest hill on Antioch Church Road right at the intersection of Longleaf Court that has been there all this time. The issue that we are concerned about now is the significantly increased traffic that is on Antioch Church Road now and will be increasing over the next year. I'm told about 450 homes are currently under construction or under development within a mile of this intersection I'm talking about. Some of you know that I have been quite involved in business and commerce in Union County from the time I've been here. I've been through all the stuff that this Council, not you personally, but your predecessors in particular with the growth in Union County, Providence Road, Rea Road, and Highway 84. Those of us over where we live in Weddington haven't asked for much over the last 20 years because there hasn't been much activity going on over there. The land wouldn't perk but now we have sewer and the land is really going to be developed by the landowners. We're increasing and I predict that Antioch Church Road will be the next Rea Road in Union County. We need to address that now. Some of you are working with us with DOT. I know that you have limited authority within DOT so I know you can't make any decisions but you can certainly come down on the side of supporting us from the safety issue of this intersection. We would very much appreciate you doing that when you have the opportunity. Thank you very much.

Mayor Deter closed Public Comments.

#### Item No. 5. Additions, Deletions and/or Adoption of the Agenda

Councilman Michael Smith moved to accept the agenda as presented by staff. All were in favor, with votes recorded as follows:

AYES: Councilmembers Buzzard, Propst, Smith

NAYS: None

Consent Agenda. The Council may designate a part of the agenda as the "Consent Agenda." Items placed on the consent agenda are judged to be non-controversial and routine. Any member of the Council may remove an item from the consent agenda and place it on the regular agenda while the agenda is being discussed and revised prior to its adoption at the beginning of the meeting. All items on the consent agenda shall be voted on and adopted by a single motion, with the minutes reflecting the motion and vote on each item.

#### Item No. 6. Consent Agenda

A. Approval of the 2016 Meeting Schedule (COPY ATTACHED HEREWITH AND MADE A PART OF THE MINUTES)

Councilman Smith moved to accept the Consent Agenda and to approve the 2016 Meeting Schedule. All were in favor with the votes recorded as follows:

AYES: Councilmembers Buzzard, Propst, Smith

NAYS: None

#### **Item No. 7. Approval of Minutes**

A. December 14, 2015 Regular Town Council Meeting

Mayor Deter confirmed that the Council had seen the final revision the Town Clerk sent out this afternoon.

Councilman Scott Buzzard moved to accept the December 14<sup>th</sup> Regular Town Council meeting minutes as presented by staff. All were in favor with the votes recorded as follows:

AYES: Councilmembers Buzzard, Propst, Smith

NAYS: None

The Public must sign up before the beginning of the meeting to speak on an item under Public Hearings. The Mayor will recognize speakers in the order in which their names appear on the sign-up sheet. The Council sets the rules for the Public Hearing. The rules may include, but are not limited to, rules fixing the maximum time allotted to each speaker; providing for the designation of spokespersons for groups of persons supporting or opposing the same positions; providing for the selection of delegates from groups of persons supporting or opposing the same positions when the number of persons wishing to attend the hearing exceeds the capacity of the Town Hall; and for providing for the maintenance of order and decorum in the conduct of the hearing.

Each speaker must address the Council from the lectern and begin their remarks by giving their name and address. Each speaker will have three (3) minutes to make remarks. A speaker may not yield any of his or her time to another speaker. Speakers must be courteous in their language and presentation. Personal attacks on the Council or members of the public will not be tolerated.

The Mayor may determine whether a speaker has gone beyond reasonable standards of courtesy in his or her remarks and shall rule on objections from other members of the Council on discourteous behavior. A majority vote of the Council may overrule the Mayor's ruling on standards of courtesy. Speakers may leave written comments and/or supporting documents, if any, with the Town Clerk to the Council.

#### Item No 8. Public Hearing and Consideration of Public Hearing

A. Review and Consideration of Public Hearing for the preliminary plat application for the Conservation Subdivision, The Enclave at Weddington

Mayor Deter opened the Public Hearing.

Bill Snider – 1423 Longleaf Court – Along the same lines of what I said before, the concern I have about this new development is the construction traffic that is going up and down Antioch Church Road. I don't know if those roads were designed for the logging trucks that are going up and down there. It seems to be holding up better than I thought. But the truth of the matter is every day I drive on the road it seems like every day there's a new pot hole. I know you probably aren't responsible for that. But now when you add Enclave into it and if they have got to use Antioch Church Road it can't help but cause more damage to it. It's typically on the edges of the road where pot holes are developing. In some way, shape or form I wish it was going down Hemby. Sorry if any of you live on Hemby but it is tearing the road up a lot. That was my comments. Thank you

Town Planner Julian Burton – This is a 42 lot Conservation subdivision located off of Antioch Church Road, access on Antioch Church Road. The applicant is still working through construction document review with our engineering consultant. Staff and the applicant both felt it would be best if the Council would consider tabling the Public Hearing and the vote until next month at least - until they are able to get the second round of review comments from Bonnie Fisher addressed.

Town Attorney Anthony Fox – If you do decide to table it with the Public Hearing not having been closed, additional people will have the opportunity at the next Council meeting to continue to comment publically about the proposed plan.

Mayor Deter – Okay, so we just leave the Public Hearing open. Do we need a motion to move it to next month?

Town Attorney Fox – I think the motion is to recess the Public Hearing with regards to Enclave and to table. Maybe the easiest thing to do is to just table the Public Hearing with the understanding that the Public Hearing will remain open. That's the better motion. To table consideration of this item which is Review and Consideration of Public Hearing for the preliminary plat application for the Conservation Subdivision, The Enclave at Weddington until the February meeting of the Town of Weddington with the Public Hearing remaining open until that time.

Councilman Smith moved to table the hearing for the Enclave of Weddington until the February meeting leaving the Public Hearing open. All were in favor with the votes recorded as follows:

AYES: Councilmembers Buzzard, Propst, Smith

NAYS: None

#### Item No. 9 Old Business

#### A. Septic System Update

Town Administrator Peggy Piontek - We discussed this at the December meeting. I have been in contact with Chief McLendon and he informs me that he has spoken with the contractor about the septic project. He will call him next week when they plan to come to the fire station and start their process. I will keep you informed as we move forward. Once they determine what we need to do whether it's get a larger tank or extend the line.

Mayor Deter – At that point that's when we go out for bids.

Town Administrator Piontek – Yes, if there's anything else that needs to be done staff will bring it back to you.

#### B. Update and Consideration of audio recordings of Town Council meetings

Town Administrator Piontek - We discussed this at the December meeting. I have been in contact with our IT vendor and our Website vendor. I have ordered and received a digital recorder. We are recording tonight's meeting for testing purposes only. Total cost for this has been less than \$300.00. The digital recorder was \$249 and the website vendor was \$25. You can go onto our website, click on Documents & Forms and you will now see an addition to that drop down box titled Audio. Once we have data to put on there, the year then the meeting date will be listed.

Councilman Smith – Are you going to be the one that puts it on the website?

Town Administrator Piontek – Yes, either me or our IT vendor. If you want to go forward with this, let me know. There currently is no way to edit this.

Councilman Smith – Is there any additional cost for them to have this put on our website?

Town Administrator Piontek – No, that's all part of the deal.

Mayor Deter – A couple of questions have come up. During a discussion if a Councilperson states that he will be away, then afterwards they realized they just informed all the crooks to rob me. In paper minutes that would not be included but on a recording it will be. What is our ability on something like that? Is it just up to Council and other people to pay more attention to what we say?

Town Attorney Fox – For purposes of the law it only requires that your Town Clerk has a duty responsibility for recording the minutes of all public meetings of the town. The recordation requirement is not an audio requirement. It is to just reflect as accurately as possible what has

transpired during the course of the meeting. It's not required to be verbatim. To the extent of you doing an audio on the website because you're not required to do the audio it will be within the Town's discretion to determine how much of the audio recordings they wish to put on public forum like that.

Mayor Deter – Is there any public records restriction on how long we have to maintain it?

Town Attorney Fox – There will be that, once you create it then you have the Department of Archives Requirement.

Town Administrator Piontek – I checked into that and I forwarded you those emails. The Department of Archives, as well as the School of Government, has informed us that once you have approved the written minutes those are your official documents. They have no requirement for you to maintain these audio recordings because technology advances so quickly that if you have a cassette deck or play a video they would be obsolete. Their approach is that five years from now you may not have the equipment to play the audio that you are recording now. That will not be your official record. Your official document will be your printed minutes.

Town Attorney Fox – That's correct because technology is getting so advanced and we have these capabilities now. But the law as it currently provides doesn't require you to keep verbatim minutes of what transpires at a meeting. What happens though is you have some inconsistencies with the public records provisions that state anything that is produced in carrying out a public business, regardless of the form, is a public record. It puts on you that obligation to maintain that. What you would do if you were to move toward audio is to establish some type of policy that would say we are providing on our website an audio of the most recent minutes. These audios are not verbatim; that liberties have been taken when possible to safeguard certain information. For instance Social Security information, addresses some of that stuff may be sensitive to what you want to post. You need to think about what kind of policy you want to do if you go forward with this.

Councilman Buzzard – If we set it up as letting them know on the website that they are just drafts, my understanding is that once you have something official past a draft then the draft becomes obsolete. Is that correct?

Town Attorney Fox – Again it depends on how broadly you read the requirements from public records. In some situations drafts can also be construed a public record. I haven't talked to the Institute to see if they have had this question come up with regards to audio records.

Mayor Deter – I don't want to rush this along, between now and the February meeting we can get discussions and clarity on policy.

Town Administrator Piontek – I will be happy to forward you the emails from the School of Government and the Archives. I thought I had but if not, I'll be happy to forward to you again. So we are not going to go forward.

Councilwoman Janice Propst – I think we are going to discuss it further but my comment was that if we are going to do audio, unless someone says a negative or a really bad word I'm against someone having the ability to edit. I might be the one who hates what I said in the comments but once I said it in the meeting I want it to be recorded.

Mayor Deter – I think that's some of the stuff we have to discuss.

Councilwoman Propst – I agree if he's on vacation.

Mayor Deter – That's the discussion on how we address these issues.

Councilwoman Propst – I think it's worth discussing further.

#### C. Update on Community Development Block Grant Program

Mayor Deter – Union County has a program through the Federal Government on Block Grant Programs. In order for them to get that they were trying to get support from the other municipalities within the County. It's basically for low to moderate income, leaning towards low income. In Weddington you are not going to have a lot of that but we thought it would be beneficial for the County to help them get the grants so we voted in favor of it. Peggy meets with them monthly or whenever they meet to represent the town. We did have a meeting with them just this past week. They were very thankful that we voted to do this and are trying to find something here in Weddington. One of the things we discussed was Birch Haven Road. Julian, are you familiar with that? You go down Weddington Matthews Road there's a gravel road there. Turn left on Birch Haven there's about five mobile homes down there. I checked on the GIS maps and it looks like its part of a big parcel so I don't know whether that's something that will qualify.

Town Planner Julian – I don't know if it qualifies or not. I didn't look it up again after we spoke. It does look like it was originally designed to be a mobile home park so it's not separate parcels or lots. Its several mobile homes on one big parcel.

Mayor Deter – I guess the update to Council is if you are aware of something in the town, mainly geared towards infrastructure, water, sewer, road, low income that's something we could submit for a grant request. So if you come up with some ideas or maybe someone in the audience has some ideas get them to me or Peggy.

Town Planner Burton – I'll bring it up to the Planning Board as well.

Councilwoman Propst – I have one question, wasn't that only \$20,000.00 to \$30,000.00 that was there? Isn't that minimal?

Town Administrator Piontek – Yes, it was minimal but I think the guidelines require lower to medium income areas. It's my understanding there are some areas in Weddington that might qualify. That's why they were thinking of certain areas.

Mayor Deter - \$20,000.00 to \$30,000.00 a year for five years. There's a small portion of the bulk sum that we might get these funds for from the Federal Government.

#### 10. New Business

#### A. Discussion and Consideration of Critical Intersection Analysis

Town Planner Burton – Union County has received funds to perform a study of some intersections that are problematic either from a safety perspective or a traffic perspective in the County. They want to join up with all the municipalities in Union County to come up with a list. What the idea would be ultimately is to do some preliminary study and project design for the intersections that are selected. They are thinking about 15 in the County. That way when there is an opportunity to be considered for a State or Federal project/funding we have the framework in place to submit that quickly and make the process a little more efficient as the traffic is continuing to get worse and growth is obviously increasing at a high rate in the County. They want a list from all the municipalities by Friday. It's a short turn around so I apologize for that but as you know I asked all of Council and the Planning Board as well for some feedback on intersections they felt would qualify to be further looked at and are causing problems. One conversation I had was with Joe Lesch who is the Senior Transportation Planner, and who has been organizing this. We do have two major projects slated in 2019 and 2021 which is the Rea Road Extension and Providence Road. Although he said you don't necessarily have to rule out projects along those two roads, he would recommend trying to identify some intersections that are outside of those projects since those are going to get taken care of potentially with those bigger projects anyway. That being said I know that Council has some concerns, the Planning Board as well, of a few areas especially along Providence Road that are in pretty bad shape now and maybe it's not worth waiting until 2021. I think it would be important to certainly include some outside of those projects in our list. He asked for three. If it's four or five, that's certainly okay as well. It's not a hard line but I think the first step will be submitting them and I will be serving on the Advisory Committee as well. There is a project team and I think they will do some preliminary level of service, traffic and accident data study of the ones that are put forth by municipalities and go from there and try to whittle it down to 15 in the County. They will try and space them out as well so it's all not going to be in Monroe. They are trying to geographically space out those intersections throughout the County. There's a good chance Weddington can at least get something included in that list.

Mayor Deter – He is looking for something \$1,000,000.00 to \$2,000,000.00 or less. Smaller projects and they are looking for a municipality contribution of 15% was the number he threw out. So \$1,000,000.00 we would be looking for the Town to support about \$150,000.00. Julian put out a list you have and you can see on the back side. The Rea Road extension for all intents and purposes under DOT planning is a done deal. The Providence Road is 2021 or beyond.

Town Planner Burton – I still believe that both of those projects are a done deal.

Mayor Deter – That's going to happen? Okay. I guess I will open it up for discussion with Council.

Town Planner Burton – I will make one quick addition. I separated out the list into two different sections. One for intersections outside those two major projects and another for intersections that were included inside the projects or were slightly outside the Town of Weddington. I did leave out one that had two mentions, Providence and Ennis Road. That would be in the second list if you want to add that one in.

Councilwoman Propst – So we all pretty much mentioned the first five at the top in some way or another.

Town Planner Burton – Planning Board is included in that as well. There were five that were outside of those major projects that were included.

Mayor Deter – For example the first one Weddington Matthews Road and Tilley Morris. I'm assuming three people mentioned those specifically. You said included in the LARTP.

Town Planner Burton – That was an intersection that had already been identified in the Regional Transportation Plan as something that would need improvement.

Mayor Deter – That's not the section of Tilly Morris we were working on with DOT?

Town Planner Burton – No, that's the Yield sign where Weddington Matthews approaches. The first five are intersections outside of the major projects of Providence Road and Highway 84/Rea Road. The first one is Weddington Matthews Road and Tilley Morris which had three mentions and is included in the LARTP. The second is Cox Road and Highway 84. This is actually not within the Rea Road/84 portion because it picks up further east. On that three mentions. Beulah Church Road and Twelve Mile Creek Road had two mentions. Also included in the LARTP. Antioch Church Road and Longleaf Court had two mentions and was also discussed earlier tonight. Forest Lawn and Potter Road had one mention but that is also included in the LARTP. Those that are included within the major project areas or are outside of Weddington are Twelve Mile Creek Road and Highway 84 which had five mentions and is also in the LARTP. I kind of grouped together Cottonfield Circle and Lochaven Road with Providence Road because they are so close together and that had four mentions. Just as a side note, Lochaven Road is also going to undergo some improvements as part of the Weddington Preserve subdivision. There will be a left turn lane going into Lochaven Road. I know there was some concern should there be a right turn out from there as well. Providence Road and Ennis Road had two mentions. That's further south on Providence Road. Twelve Mile Creek and New Town Road had one mention. There is really only one corner of that intersection that is in Weddington. That doesn't mean we should rule it out. Antioch Church Road and Highway 84 is part of the major project and it's not in Weddington.

Councilwoman Propst – I'm fine with the five we have because the rest of the ones at the bottom are going to be addressed. So the top five that we have are already kind of agreed upon.

Councilman Buzzard – It might be too that the five that are not necessarily in Weddington or partly in Weddington might be picked by another municipality.

Councilman Smith – It definitely would behoove us to stick with the five if we are really trying to accomplish something here.

Town Planner Burton – I can also just suggest those five and then maybe include the ones on Providence Road as well. Just as a suggestion if they are looking at Providence Road at all for them to take a look at these two intersections.

Mayor Deter – What I'm hearing from Council is to go with the top five. Weddington Matthews Road and Tilley Morris that's basically where the yield sign is. Cox Road and Highway 84, does that involve turn lanes? If they come and ask us what we mean by Cox Road and Highway 84?

Town Planner Burton – I don't think we necessarily need to say what it would be. It's just that it would be an improvement of some kind.

Councilwoman Propst – There's no signal light there.

Mayor Deter – Beulah Church Road where Twelve Mile Creek Road comes into that. That's deadly. I live around there. Antioch Church Road and Longleaf Court we have a couple of people that spoke to that tonight.

Town Planner Burton – I did ask Joe today to confirm that it would fall within the criteria because it's not truly an intersection. He was fine with it being there.

Mayor Deter – Forest Lawn and Potter Road. So we can go with those five, Council is in agreement. Or we can go with those five and then do a 5 A & B.

Councilwoman Propst – Twelve Mile Creek and 84 is going to be handled with Rea Road so that's already addressed. Like you said with the improvements with Lochaven already with that Weddington Preserve.

Councilman Smith – The only problem I would have other than the ones at the top there, if it's going to be absorbed by other projects are we mudding the water?

Councilwoman Propst – That's what I'm saying, the top five are the top five.

Councilman Smith – Yes, I would like to leave it at that.

Councilman Buzzard – We have Julian who is going to be part of the process. I think the fact that he knows what we've discussed he has an idea if other discussions should come up.

Mayor Deter – The only place I was trying to go with this is there were four mentions, which is one of the highest, is it simple to put a stop light at Cottonfield? Because anyone coming out of Cottonfield cannot make a left hand turn. It's difficult to come out and make a right hand turn then turn around. Once we get the left hand turn lane into Lochaven, you definitely won't be able to get out of Cottonfield. If you have a stop light signal there at Cottonfield, that's stopping traffic which will also create a little gap for people coming out of Lochaven to be able to make

that right turn. So a signal seems like a pretty simple thing to do but it addresses several issues. That's why I'm suggesting we make that a 5A.

Town Planner Burton – I agree that it's a huge problem, but the only push back is when they expand Providence that's not where they would put a light. Because they are putting lights at other locations.

Mayor Deter – I agree, I'm not sure what he means by short term. We're talking five years to get Providence started. Five years is a long time. We will grow and have more traffic. I was just throwing it out, it's up to Council.

Councilman Smith – I think they will probably take a look at it. It's pricey to put a stop light in. I'm thinking they may not do it because of that. But I see what you're saying and I agree with it. I would be worried if we piled too much on that top list that some of the other things that we need to get done won't get done because they will focus on that.

Councilman Buzzard - The other thing too is that nothing says that just because we have this list we are precluded from working on projects ourselves.

Councilwoman Propst – How much is a stop light?

Councilman Smith – It's over \$1,000,000.00.

Councilman Propst – Never mind.

Councilman Buzzard moved that we send the first five projects that are not included in the major project areas or outside of Weddington as per the recommendation. All were in favor with the votes recorded as follows:

AYES: Councilmembers Buzzard, Propst, Smith

NAYS: None

Intersections outside Highway 16 and Highway 84/Rea Road projects:

- 1. Weddington-Matthews and Tilley Morris (3 Mentions) *Included in LARTP*
- 2. Cox Road and Highway 84 (3 Mentions)
- 3. Beulah Church Road and 12 Mile Creek (2 Mentions) *Included in LARTP*
- 4. Antioch Church Road and Longleaf Court (2 Mentions)
- 5. Forest Lawn and Potter Road (1 mention) *Included in LARTP*

#### B. Discussion and Consideration of Easter Egg Hunt and Litter Sweep

Mayor Deter – The person that used to do that is no longer on Council. I would like to keep this going. It is some initial funding from Council. This is in the budget and I am looking for support from Council that we should continue these events.

Councilman Smith - Who's going to do it?

Mayor Deter - Right now me and some staff members. I've had a meeting with Barbara, several discussions with Peggy and Tonya. We've set a date for the Easter Egg Hunt on March 19<sup>th</sup> and Litter Sweep on April 15<sup>th</sup>. We have a sequence of things that need to be done. Yes, I will do it.

Councilman Smith – I'm in favor of it; I'll give you a hand.

Mayor Deter – Okay. We have it down here for discussion and consideration, but I think we just need consensus from the Council. It's good for the Town and hundreds of people attend.

Councilwoman Propst – I was going to say that two Council Members should work with the staff to work on these two events. That's why I wanted to bring it up to begin with. I felt like those are two good events that the Town should continue with. We have a lot of companies that support it as well.

By consensus the Council agreed to continue to have the events.

### C. Discussion and Consideration of Proposal from Centralina Council of Governments for facilitating the retreat in February

Mayor Deter – Our last several town retreats we brought in an outside facilitator from COG. It helps keep us on track. They actually do pre-survey interviews with Council to help identify the issues. We do have it budgeted. The bid they gave us is actually below the budget. So we are looking at, on this particular one, we have a contract in here.

Town Administrator Piontek – The original contract that went out with your packet was renegotiated by Mayor Deter. You received a revised contract over the weekend and I have placed a hard copy on your desk this evening.

Finance Officer Leslie Gaylord – How much is it, Peggy?

Town Administrator Piontek - \$2,000.00

Finance Officer Gaylord – Okay I was going to comment that it was significantly higher than that before.

Town Administrator Piontek – If Council moves to approve the contract, you will be approving the revised contract of \$2,000.00, not the one in your packet.

Councilman Buzzard – What was the contract last year?

Finance Officer Gaylord - \$1,500.00 but we were advised it would go up. We were aware there was going to be an increase.

Town Attorney Fox – I've looked at the contract in the package and it is acceptable.

Councilman Smith moved to accept the Centralina Council of Governments contract for facilitating the retreat for \$2,000.00. (COPY ATTACHED HEREWITH AND MADE A PART OF THE MINUTES) All were in favor with the votes recorded as follows:

AYES: Councilmembers Buzzard, Propst, Smith

NAYS: None

## **D.** Discussion and Consideration of Proposal from Firethorne Country Club for the retreat in February

Mayor Deter – This is where we hold the retreat.

Councilman Smith moved to accept both the Thursday and Friday proposal from Firethorne Country Club for the retreat. (COPY ATTACHED HEREWITH AND MADE A PART OF THE MINUTES) All were in favor with the votes recorded as follows:

AYES: Councilmembers Buzzard, Propst, Smith

NAYS: None

#### **Item No. 11. Update from Town Planner**

Town Planner Burton – Pretty similar to last month's update. Again Mayor Deter and Councilman Buzzard, the orientation for CRTPO will be on Wednesday night. Another DOT project, the Rea Road extension as we discussed tonight, the Public Hearing is January 26<sup>th</sup> at Graceway Baptist Church. There is a portion that is just open to the local officials will be between 2:00-4:30 pm. Then there is an open house for the public followed by a formal presentation. It will go until 8:30 p.m. Subdivision information is similar to last month which is included in the memo in the packet.

#### **Item No. 12. Update from Finance Officer and Tax Collector**

Finance Officer Gaylord – You have the monthly statements in your packet. Councilwoman Propst was kind enough to inform me that the attorney fee line item, the general representation amount accidently got recorded as litigation. So that for the month the general representation amount should be \$7,322.00. That would reduce the litigation by that same amount. (COPY ATTACHED HEREWITH AND MADE A PART OF THE MINUTES)

Mayor Deter – Is that line item 192?

Finance Officer Gaylord – Yes, line item 192 and 193. There is no change in the total; it's just a split between the two. I will make an entry to fix it.

Mayor Deter – Okay, so of the \$16,000.00 for the current period, \$7,322.00 will move into general?

Finance Officer Gaylord – Correct. The auditors will be here at the February meeting to present the financial statements. Tax Collector Kim Woods has done a bang up job collecting taxes. If you think they look good on here you should see the ones for January; they are even better. So we are doing good.

#### **Item No. 13 Public Safety Report**

Councilman Smith – There was a Public Safety Committee meeting on January 5<sup>th</sup> of this year, it was their first. They nominated their Chairman and Vice-Chairman. They put a nice plan together to try and put some definition and direction to the Committee. They are coming up trying to formulate a handbook so as committee member's terms expire this can be passed on. It will show what direction they were heading in and get some continuity. It's a pretty good idea. All of this is being worked on now. I did discuss with them some of the things we wanted to go over at the retreat. I did discuss many of these items with them about nine months ago. I told them that, more than likely, there would be some more definition to it once the retreat was completed. Some of the things I had mentioned were pot holes. Forming a committee to go out and identify pot holes to deal with DOT. Then identifying some road issues. They are aware that this is coming down and that the Council is going to be wanting them to do that. They also are looking into the CERT Trailer and if it has any meaning to it. Those are just some of the things they are working on. More than likely, within the next several months we will get a report back from them as to where that stands. If you have anything that you want them to work on, either go through me or contact them directly.

#### Item No. 14. Transportation Report

#### A. Discussion and Consideration of voting on I 77 Toll Road

Councilman Buzzard - I am looking for some direction from Council. Obviously it is a big item in our area and North Charlotte. I have not been to any of the MUMPO meetings so I don't know the culture or climate within the organization. I'm green on this one and am looking for some thoughts and direction.

Councilwoman Propst – I spoke before the meeting when you read the things on line, it looks like a lot of the communities north of the city are opposed to the tolls. I guess it's really going to depend on what Charlotte says. Marvin voted against it. Is that Correct?

Mayor Deter – The first time yes.

Councilwoman Propst – Have they voted again?

Mayor Deter – Well, they haven't voted again but it's already been approved once.

Councilwoman Propst – I know, once. I just read the things on-line. Personally I was against car toll roads to begin within the Charlotte area. But then you begin to read that there will no longer be HOV lanes. They will go away. The fees, the tolls will leave the Country and I think Town Attorney Fox can explain why that is a little bit better?

Town Attorney Fox – The way toll works out the concession contract works state the contractor is paying in advance for the construction of the roads. Carrying all the costs for the construction of the road. He's building into those costs traffic or revenue studies to look at how much traffic would generate certain amount of revenues over a period of time. They also have to build into it certain maintenance reserves to maintain the road. It is designed to allow the contractor to recover over a period of time in term of years; it's investment in the property with a certain percentage of return. So that's what it's tied to.

Mayor Deter – Basically you have to know your revenue, they have to construct it, maintain it, and pay their bond holders, the cost of money over time. Then what's left after that is profit. As far as leaving the Country?

Town Attorney Fox – Some of the larger concession players in this industry are foreign. The Chicago Loop, some of the construction occurred there was funded by that. Greenville, that went bankrupt outside of 85 South, was rescued by a Spanish company.

Councilwoman Propst – Should we ask our previous MUMPO Representative since she's here tonight if she would like to speak on this for the people in the community?

Mayor Deter – I don't know if we can procedurally do that. I can tell you we directed Ms. Harrison the first time to vote for it for various reasons. Near as I can tell none of those reasons have changed since we voted for it the first time. You are correct Janice, the towns up north tend to be against it, but that's after they were for it in the beginning. Now they are against it. Most of the areas down here are in favor of it. With the exception of Marvin and I'm not sure why.

Councilwoman Propst – I think Mineral Springs is on record. Like I said I was trying to get more information before I decided on anything tonight.

Mayor Deter – I went to the meeting; it was well attended. Every municipality in Union County was there. There were presentations, there were questions and answers. Realizing that it was presented by NCDOT so they are going to put a slant on it. Personally, I think the toll lane is a good thing.

Councilman Smith – Let me ask you this, my understanding is you can opt out. You don't have to pay; it's just for one particular lane right?

Mayor Deter – There will be two lanes each way, I can't remember how far. Then there will be an additional lane going up to Iredell County. Then basically the toll lanes are required to maintain a 45 mph speed limit, so when the other lanes are doing 5 mph, the toll lanes hum along

at 45 mph. The way they do that is by car count. Approximately 1,700 cars is what they can handle and maintain 45 mph. They will have sensors and it is dynamic pricing. When they start approaching that 1,700 car limit, which means traffic is going to slow down, contractually they will have to keep it at 45 mph. That's when the price goes up which will depress the demand, reducing the number of cars in that lane.

Councilman Smith – My question is you don't have to be in that lane to go on the Highway?

Mayor Deter – You will be sitting in the existing lane as it is now. If you are an HOV person, which currently takes two people to be in the HOV lane, under this toll lane it becomes three people. You can still do the HOV thing but three people. There will be transit busses that will be in that express lane that moves along. There are options. I've already said that I think this is a good thing, so take what I'm saying from my point of view. They could build two more lanes and you know how this area is growing, in five years from now they will be full. I think everyone has seen the 10 lane Interstate in China on the internet. In my opinion if you don't create toll lanes that's where you are going to go. It's going to force a bit of social engineering. There has to be an incentive to get people to change their driving habits. One of those incentives is you can car pool with 3 people, you can take alternate transportation. There are a number of options, but that's what it's going to take to get people to change their driving habits.

Councilwoman Propst – Am I right, but it said the contract won't allow for more free lanes for the next 50 years unless there's a stiff penalty? Apparently that's probably still to pay for the toll roads. They won't add additional free lanes because they will still be paying for the toll road.

Mayor Deter – What I was told, again I'm getting this from DOT - you have to take everything where it's coming from - if the State wanted to add lanes on Highway 21, or other roads that tend to parallel that, they can without restrictions. If the toll lanes max out, if the cars they can handle at that 45 mph, regardless of what the fee is the State can come and add another lane on the Interstate because it doesn't impact their revenue. They can't get any more with what they've constructed. But if it's not maxed out and the State wants to come and build another lane, they will reply you are impacting our profit stream here so you have to give us an offset. It's not that the State can't but we will have to pay a penalty but it depends on where the toll lane people are in terms of how fast things go. I was told that the three lanes on 77 South were supposed to be good for 25 years. We're growing and growing and they are rapidly coming to capacity. I think the bigger issue, it's a little late to be getting into this, and it's not so much how much is the toll or I don't want to pay a toll. It's what the long term strategy is for NCDOT in North Carolina going to be. Is it going to be the 10 lane interstate, toll lanes through business government partnerships, toll lanes strictly by the government? So there are a number of different strategies but in my opinion we are pretty far down the road now to be rethinking that strategy or direction. That's from what I've learned.

Councilwoman Propst – Again our vote is very small. If they go forward with the toll lanes there, they also then will move forward with toll lanes on 74 and the empty lane on 485. Is that correct?

Mayor Deter – Not necessarily, that's separate.

Councilwoman Propst – They might not do those at all though if they don't get the tolls there.

Councilman Buzzard – My understanding is there are a number of other projects that will be negatively impacted. From what I heard the 77 project is a standalone project as far as moving forward. But if we're moving backwards...

Councilwoman Propst – Would impact those other projects?

Councilman Buzzard – Correct. Personally I'm not a big fan of toll roads but I agree with Bill that we are far enough down this rabbit hole where I don't know if we pull the plug even if we vote no it's going to impact what happens.

Mayor Deter – I think Council needs to give a direction to Scott. I've given you spin on it but I don't vote.

Councilman Smith moved to direct Councilman Buzzard as our Representative to CRTPO to vote in favor of the tolls. The votes were recorded as follows:

AYES: Councilmembers Buzzard, Smith

NAYS: Propst

#### **Item No. 15. Council Comments**

Councilwoman Propst – Thanks everyone for coming out tonight. I appreciate your coming and appreciate your involvement in our community. I hope you continue to be involved in the community and come out and be a part of everything we do. Thank you.

Councilman Smith – I second that, thank you for coming out tonight.

Mayor Deter – Thanks for coming out. Hope you continue to come out and come to the Easter Egg Hunt and Litter Sweep.

Councilman Buzzard - I appreciate everyone coming out to the Council meeting. I enjoy seeing more seats filled than not filled. So thank you very much.

#### Item No. 16. Adjournment

Councilman Smith moved to adjourn. All were in favor, with votes recorded as follows:

NAYS: None	
The meeting ended at 8:07 pm	
	Mayor Bill Deter
A 440 c44	Wayor Bin Deter
Attest:	
Peggy Piontek, Town Clerk	

AYES: Councilmembers Buzzard, Propst, Smith and

### TOWN OF WEDDINGTON

SCHEDULE OF TOWN COUNCIL MEETINGS - 2016 (2<sup>ND</sup> MONDAY OF EVERY MONTH)

DATE	TIME	LOCATION	
January 11, 2016	7:00 p.m.	Town Hall Council Chambers	
February 8, 2016	7:00 p.m.	Town Hall Council Chambers	
March 14, 2016	7:00 p.m.	Town Hall Council Chambers	
April 11, 2016	7:00 p.m.	Town Hall Council Chambers	
May 9, 2016	7:00 p.m.	Town Hall Council Chambers	
June 13, 2016	7:00 p.m.	Town Hall Council Chambers	
July 11, 2016	7:00 p.m.	Town Hall Council Chambers	
August 8, 2016	7:00 p.m.	Town Hall Council Chambers	
September 12, 2016	7:00 p.m.	Town Hall Council Chambers	
October 10, 2016	7:00 p.m.	Town Hall Council Chambers	
November 14, 2016	7:00 p.m.	Town Hall Council Chambers	
December 12, 2016	7:00 p.m.	Town Hall Council Chambers	

SCHEDULE OF PLANNING BOARD MEETINGS - 2016 (4<sup>TH</sup> MONDAY OF EVERY MONTH)

DATE	TIME	LOCATION
January 25, 2016	7:00 p.m.	Town Hall Council Chambers
February 22, 2016	7:00 p.m.	Town Hall Council Chambers
March 28, 2016	7:00 p.m.	Town Hall Council Chambers
April 25, 2016	7:00 p.m.	Town Hall Council Chambers
May 23, 2016	7:00 p.m.	Town Hall Council Chambers
June 27, 2016	7:00 p.m.	Town Hall Council Chambers
July 25, 2016	7:00 p.m.	Town Hall Council Chambers
August 22, 2016	7:00 p.m.	Town Hall Council Chambers
September 26, 2016	7:00 p.m.	Town Hall Council Chambers
October 24, 2016	7:00 p.m.	Town Hall Council Chambers
November 28, 2016	7:00 p.m.	Town Hall Council Chambers
December 19, 2016 (Moved up one week – Christmas)	7:00 p.m.	Town Hall Council Chambers

SCHEDULE OF HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION MEETINGS - 2016
\*(4<sup>TH</sup> MONDAY OF THE FIRST MONTH OF EVERY QUARTER AFTER THE REGULARLY
SCHEDULED PLANNING BOARD MEETING AT 7:00 P.M.)

DATE	TIME	LOCATION	
January 25, 2016	*as stated above	Town Hall Council Chambers	
April 25, 2016	*as stated above	Town Hall Council Chambers	
July 25, 2016	*as stated above	Town Hall Council Chambers	
October 24, 2016	*as stated above	Town Hall Council Chambers	

# TOWN OF WEDDINGTON REVENUE & EXPENDITURE STATEMENT BY DEPARTMENT

FY 2015-2016

fl141r07

12/01/2015 TO 12/31/2015

	CURRENT PERIOD	YEAR-TO-DATE	BUDGETED	% BUDGET REM
REVENUE:				
10-3101-110 AD VALOREM TAX - CURRENT	206,933.55	819,424.40	944,000.00	13
10-3102-110 AD VALOREM TAX - 1ST PRIOR		1,257.52	4,000.00	69
10-3103-110 AD VALOREM TAX - NEXT 8	336.86	3,636.16	1,500.00	-142
10-3110-121 AD VALOREM TAX - MOTOR	6,263 84	37,494.83	73,075.00	49
10-3115-180 TAX INTEREST	58.14	412.15	2,250.00	82
10-3231-220 LOCAL OPTION SALES TAX REV	V 49,722.03	100,054.86	285,000.00	65
10-3322-220 BEER & WINE TAX	0.00	0.00	41,000 00	100
10-3324-220 UTILITY FRANCHISE TAX	147,897.40	304,111.02	425,000.00	28
10-3340-400 ZONING & PERMIT FEES	2,555.00	20,260.00	25,000.00	19
10-3350-400 SUBDIVISION FEES	0.00	45,780.00	55,000.00	17
10-3830-891 MISCELLANEOUS REVENUES	25.00	568.00	1,000.00	43
10-3831-491 INVESTMENT INCOME	0 00	1,651.98	5,000.00	67
TOTAL REVENUE	413,933.58	1,334,650 92	1,861,825.00	28
AFTER TRANSFERS	413,933.58	1,334,650.92	1,861,825.00	
4110 GENERAL GOVERNMENT				
EXPENDITURE:	50.000.55	252 202 10	<b>=</b> 00.00 <b>=</b> 00	
10-4110-126 FIRE DEPT SUBSIDIES	58,288 75	353,282.18	709,895.00	50
10-4110-127 FIRE DEPARTMENT	0.00	3,642.15	3,800.00	4
10-4110-128 POLICE PROTECTION	521.44	124,049.44	248,677.00	50
10-4110-192 ATTORNEY FEES - GENERAL	0.00	27,020.88	95,000.00	72
10-4110-193 ATTORNEY FEES - LITIGATION	16,103.72	99,412.66	84,000.00	-18
10-4110-195 ELECTION EXPENSE	0.00	0.00	11,000.00	100
10-4110-340 EVENTS & PUBLICATIONS	0.00	787.95	12,000.00	93
10-4110-341 WEDDINGTON FESTIVAL	0.00	-3,130.28	5,000.00	163
10-4110-342 HOLIDAY/TREE LIGHTING	2,521.17	3,034.62	6,500.00	53
10-4110-343 EASTER EGG HUNT	0.00	0.00	750.00	100
10-4110-344 OTHER COMMUNITY EVENTS	0 00	138.53	2,250.00	94
TOTAL EXPENDITURE	77,435.08	608,238.13	1,178,872.00	48
BEFORE TRANSFERS	-77,435.08	-608,238.13	-1,178,872.00	
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
AFTER TRANSFERS	-77,435.08	-608,238.13	-1,178,872.00	
4120 ADMINISTRATIVE				
EXPENDITURE:				
10-4120-121 SALARIES - CLERK	5,966.66	35,633.30	71,000.00	50
10-4120-123 SALARIES - TAX COLLECTOR	3,471 48	20,617 88	46,315.00	55
10-4120-124 SALARIES - FINANCE OFFICER	541.43	5,594.06	13,840.00	60
10-4120-125 SALARIES - MAYOR & TOWN	2,100.00	12,600.00	25,200.00	50
10-4120-181 FICA EXPENSE	916.38	5,687.20	12,460.00	54
10-4120-182 EMPLOYEE RETIREMENT	1,392.15	8,215.45	18,885.00	56
10-4120-183 EMPLOYEE INSURANCE	1,046 13	11,486.13	25,000 00	54
LESLIE	01/06/2016 2:33:25	5PM		Page 1
8141-07	0			1 450

### TOWN OF WEDDINGTON

REVENUE & EXPENDITURE STATEMENT BY DEPARTMENT

FY 2015-2016 12/01/2015 TO 12/31/2015

	CURRENT PERIOD	YEAR-TO-DATE	BUDGETED	% BUDGET REM
10-4120-184 EMPLOYEE LIFE INSURANCE	10.92	162.12	400.00	59
10-4120-185 EMPLOYEE S-T DISABILITY	24 00	144.00	300.00	52
10-4120-191 AUDIT FEES	0.00	0.00	8,500.00	100
10-4120-193 CONTRACT LABOR	0 00	0.00	11,430.00	100
10-4120-200 OFFICE SUPPLIES - ADMIN	383.06	2,407.85	12,500 00	81
10-4120-210 PLANNING CONFERENCE	0.00	0.00	2,500.00	100
10-4120-321 TELEPHONE - ADMIN	189.36	1,023.96	3,500.00	71
10-4120-325 POSTAGE - ADMIN	130.00	875.93	2,500.00	65
10-4120-331 UTILITIES - ADMIN	346.41	1,662.45	4,250.00	61
10-4120-351 REPAIRS & MAINTENANCE -	0.00	7,900.00	30,223.00	74
10-4120-352 REPAIRS & MAINTENANCE -	3,784.95	43,693.51	63,000.00	31
10-4120-354 REPAIRS & MAINTENANCE -	5,095 00	25,100.00	57,250.00	56
10-4120-355 REPAIRS & MAINTENANCE -	0 00	220.00	1,000.00	78
10-4120-356 REPAIRS & MAINTENANCE -	400 00	2,200.00	6,000.00	63
10-4120-370 ADVERTISING - ADMIN	77.35	489.16	1,000.00	51
10-4120-397 TAX LISTING & TAX	-138.15	-369.86	1,000.00	137
10-4120-400 ADMINISTRATIVE:TRAINING	910.78	2,673.95	4,000.00	33
10-4120-410 ADMINISTRATIVE:TRAVEL	69 00	1,454 45	6,000.00	76
10-4120-450 INSURANCE	0.00	13,387.05	15,500.00	14
10-4120-491 DUES & SUBSCRIPTIONS	480.00	14,320.00	18,000.00	20
10-4120-498 GIFTS & AWARDS	207 49	1,948.61	3,500.00	44
10-4120-499 MISCELLANEOUS	235.56	1,973.54	5,000.00	61
TOTAL EXPENDITURE	27,639.96	221,100.74	470,053.00	53
	27,037.70	221,100.74	470,033.00	33
BEFORE TRANSFERS	-27,639 96	-221,100.74	-470,053.00	
AFTER TRANSFERS	-27,639.96	-221,100.74	-470,053.00	
4130 PLANNING & ZONING			<u> </u>	
EXPENDITURE. 10-4130-121 SALARIES - ZONING	4,570.10	28,235.14	57.240.00	£ 1
	•		57,240.00	51
10-4130-122 SALARIES - ASST ZONING	132.60	664.86	2,250.00	70
10-4130-123 SALARIES - RECEPTIONIST	1,650.77	10,458.64	24,975.00	58
10-4130-124 SALARIES - PLANNING BOARD	425 00	2,350.00	5,200.00	55
10-4130-125 SALARIES - SIGN REMOVAL	222.74	1,579.08	4,000.00	61
10-4130-181 FICA EXPENSE - P&Z	535.62	3,315.44	7,770.00	57
10-4130-182 EMPLOYEE RETIREMENT - P&Z	917.57	5,541.71	13,015.00	57
10-4130-183 EMPLOYEE INSURANCE	2,088.00	12,528.00	27,000.00	54
10-4130-184 EMPLOYEE LIFE INSURANCE	20.44	122.64	300.00	59
10-4130-185 EMPLOYEE S-T DISABILITY	12.00	72.00	150.00	52
10-4130-193 CONSULTING	3,646.90	-12,512.60	10,000.00	225
10-4130-194 CONSULTING - COG	0.00	7,800.00	21,750.00	64
10-4130-200 OFFICE SUPPLIES - PLANNING	370.24	2,297.56	5,000.00	54
10-4130-201 ZONING SPECIFIC OFFICE	0.00	40.01	2,500.00	98
10-4130-215 HISTORIC PRESERVATION	0.00	0.00	2,500.00	100
10-4130-220 TRANSPORTATION &	0.00	0.00	18,000.00	100

LESLIE fl141r07

01/06/2016 2.33.25PM

#### TOWN OF WEDDINGTON REVENUE & EXPENDITURE STATEMENT BY DEPARTMENT

FY 2015-2016

12/01/2015 TO 12/31/2015

CUE	RRENT PERIOD	YEAR-TO-DATE	BUDGETED	% BUDGET REM
10-4130-321 TELEPHONE - PLANNING &	189.38	1,024.03	3,500.00	71
10-4130-325 POSTAGE - PLANNING & ZONING	150.00	725.54	2,500.00	71
10-4130-331 UTILITIES - PLANNING & ZONING	346.39	1,662.45	4,250.00	61
10-4130-370 ADVERTISING - PLANNING &	77.35	317 00	1,000.00	68
TOTAL EXPENDITURE	15,355.10	66,221.50	212,900.00	69
BEFORE TRANSFERS	-15,355 10	-66,221.50	-212,900.00	
AFTER TRANSFERS	-15,355.10	-66,221.50	-212,900.00	
GRAND TOTAL	293,503.44	439,090.55	0.00	

# TOWN OF WEDDINGTON BALANCE SHEET

FY 2015-2016

10

PERIOD ENDING: 12/31/2015

#### **ASSETS**

ASSETS		
10-1120-000	TRINITY CHECKING ACCOUNT	1,164,253.48
10-1120-001	TRINITY MONEY MARKET	1,107,703.63
10-1170-000	NC CASH MGMT TRUST	530,325.89
10-1211-001	A/R PROPERTY TAX	164,294.88
10-1212-001	A/R PROPERTY TAX - 1ST YEAR PRIOR	5,489.28
10-1212-002	A/R PROPERTY TAX - NEXT 8 PRIOR YRS	12,188.27
10-1232-000	SALES TAX RECEIVABLE	1,237.00
10-1610-001	FIXED ASSETS - LAND & BUILDINGS	1,753,018.11
10-1610-002	FIXED ASSETS - FURNITURE & FIXTURES	23,513.12
10-1610-003	FIXED ASSETS - EQUIPMENT	118,306.60
10-1610-004	FIXED ASSETS - INFRASTRUCTURE	26,851 01
	TOTAL ASSETS	4,907,181.27
	LIABILITIES & EQUITY	
LIABILITIES		
10-2120-000	BOND DEPOSIT PAYABLE	71,897.25
10-2620-000	DEFERRED REVENUE - DELQ TAXES	5,489.28
10-2625-000	DEFERRED REVENUE - CURR YR TAX	164,294.88
10-2630-000	DEFERRED REVENUE-NEXT 8	12,188.27
	TOTAL LIABILITIES	253,869.68
EQUITY		
10-2620-001	FUND BALANCE - UNASSIGNED	2,416,690.89
10-2620-003	FUND BALANCE-ASSIGNED	236,000.00
10-2620-004	FUND BALANCE-INVEST IN FIXED ASSETS	1,921,688.84
10-2620-005	CURRENT YEAR EQUITY YTD	-360,158.69
CURRENT	FUND BALANCE - YTD NET REV	439,090.55
	TOTAL EQUITY	4,653,311.59
	TOTAL LIABILITIES & FUND EQUITY	4,907,181.27

### TOWN OF WEDDINGTON

#### **MEMORANDUM**

TO: Mayor and Town Council

FROM: Kim Woods, Tax Collector

**DATE:** January 11, 2016

SUBJECT: Monthly Report – December 2015

Transactions:	
Adjustment under \$5.00	\$1.69
Interest Charges	\$75.97
Penalty & Interest Payments	\$(98.16)
Refunds	\$1533.37
Taxes Collected:	
2010	\$(81.79)
2012	\$(113.31)
2013	\$(141.76)
2014	\$(141.76)
2015	\$(207951.49)
As of December 31,2015; the following	owing taxes remain
Outstanding:	
2005	\$252.74
2006	\$56.80
2007	\$93.78
2008	\$1039.45
2009	\$865.35
2010	\$729.72
2011	\$463.55
2012	\$4299.02
2013	\$4387.86
2014	\$5489.28
2015	\$164294.88
Total Outstanding:	\$181972.43